

Research Article

Visual Search Interactive Model for Artificial Intelligence Robotics Model for the Agricultural Field Analysis

Asmatullah Nashir 1,*

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Information technology, Badakhshan University, Afghanistan. *Corresponding Author: Asmatullah Nashir. Email: nashirasmatullah@gmail.com Received: 11/08/2024; Accepted: 20/10/2024. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.69996/jcai.2024021>

Abstract: The Visual Search Interactive Model for Artificial Intelligence (AI) is designed to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of visual data analysis across various applications. By leveraging advanced computer vision techniques and machine learning algorithms, this model enables AI systems to interpret and analyze visual information in real-time, facilitating tasks such as object recognition, image classification, and scene understanding. The interactive nature of the model allows users to engage with the AI, refining searches and improving outcomes through iterative feedback. This paper introduces the Auxiliary Clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model, designed to enhance agricultural efficiency through advanced data analysis and robotic integration. The study evaluates the performance of the AC k-means ML model using a dataset comprising 1,950 samples, achieving an overall accuracy of 91.5% and a precision of 89.2%. Key performance metrics such as F1 scores averaged 88.6%, with the highest individual cluster accuracy reaching 96% for Cluster 10. In addition to data classification, the model facilitated the completion of 250 tasks with a remarkable success rate of 92%, while maintaining an average task completion time of 15.4 minutes and an energy consumption of just 0.5 kWh per task. The implementation of the AC k-means ML model resulted in a 15% increase in crop yield and substantial cost savings estimated at \$2,000. With a user satisfaction score averaging 8.7 and an adaptability score of 9.0, the findings indicate that the integration of machine learning and robotics significantly optimizes agricultural processes, promoting sustainability and efficiency in farming practices. **Keywords: -** Auxiliary Field; Machine Learning; Clustering; k-means; Classification; Artificial Intelligence

1 Introduction

 Visual search in robotics involves the use of computer vision and machine learning to enable robots to locate, identify, and interact with objects in their surroundings [1]. This capability allows robots to recognize specific items within a cluttered or dynamic environment by analyzing visual cues, often using image processing algorithms combined with deep learning models. Key aspects of visual search in robotics include object detection, where robots pinpoint the location of an object; object recognition, which identifies the type or class of the object; and object tracking, which monitors an object's movement [2]. These capabilities are essential for applications in manufacturing, where robots might need to locate parts on an assembly line; in warehouse automation, to locate and pick items efficiently; and in autonomous vehicles, for recognizing obstacles and navigation paths [3]. Visual search in robotics is an advanced capability that integrates computer vision, artificial intelligence, and real-time data processing, allowing robots to perceive and interpret their surroundings [4]. This process enables robots to detect, identify, and interact with objects, people, or obstacles in dynamic and complex

environments. Visual search includes several core functions: object detection, where robots scan the environment to locate objects using convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to segment scenes and distinguish between objects and background; object recognition, where robots identify objects by type or category based on learned characteristics like shape, color, and texture; object localization, where robots determine an object's precise position using depth cameras, LiDAR, or stereo vision systems to gauge spatial relationships; and object tracking, where robots continuously monitor moving objects, essential for tasks involving dynamic movement such as following a person or adapting to changes in a conveyor belt [5]. These combined capabilities are widely applied across industries. In manufacturing, robots locate and assemble parts on production lines with high precision, while in warehousing, visual search allows robots to pick, sort, and place items efficiently [6]. In autonomous vehicles, visual search aids in detecting and responding to obstacles, pedestrians, and traffic signals, crucial for safe navigation. Additionally, in healthcare and service industries, robots with visual search capabilities can assist in tasks like guiding patients or performing inventory checks. As machine learning and sensor technology advance, visual search is enabling robots to undertake increasingly sophisticated tasks that require perception, decision-making, and adaptability, expanding the potential for robotics in complex environments [7].

A Visual Search Interactive Model for Artificial Intelligence (AI) in robotics tailored to agricultural field analysis equips robots with advanced perception and decision-making abilities to enhance farming practices [8]. This model enables robots to analyze and interpret visual data from fields, facilitating tasks such as crop health assessment, pest detection, weed identification, and soil condition monitoring [9]. Using high-resolution cameras and sensors, the model captures images and real-time footage, which are processed through AI algorithms, including object detection and classification models, to identify and analyze specific features in the agricultural landscape. The interactive nature of the model allows robots to adapt dynamically to varying conditions, whether by zooming in on areas showing signs of disease or by continuously tracking the growth of specific plants [10]. Additionally, with localization and tracking capabilities, the model enables robots to navigate accurately between crop rows, assess large field areas, and adjust actions based on environmental changes [11]. This technology supports precision agriculture by delivering actionable insights for improving crop yield, reducing the need for manual inspection, and optimizing resource usage, such as water, pesticides, and fertilizers, ultimately contributing to sustainable farming practices.

Artificial intelligence (AI) in robotics for interactive agricultural farming revolutionizes traditional farming by enabling robots to perform tasks with high precision, adaptability, and efficiency [12]. By leveraging AI, agricultural robots can autonomously carry out a variety of field activities, such as planting, weeding, pest detection, crop monitoring, and harvesting, with minimal human intervention. Equipped with machine learning algorithms and computer vision, these robots analyze real-time data from sensors and cameras to identify crop conditions, detect weeds or diseases, and assess soil health [13]. The interactive nature of AI allows these robots to adapt to environmental changes on the go—adjusting water, pesticide, or nutrient levels based on the specific needs of each plant. AI models also enable robots to optimize field coverage by mapping crop layouts, learning efficient pathways, and predicting future field conditions [14]. This results in precise resource allocation, minimized waste, and improved crop yield. By automating labor-intensive tasks, AI-driven robots support sustainable and efficient farming,

offering solutions to labor shortages and making farming more resilient to climate variability [15]. Artificial intelligence (AI) in robotics for interactive agricultural farming is transforming how farmers approach crop management, resource allocation, and yield optimization [16]. By using sophisticated AI algorithms, these robots are equipped to perform a wide range of tasks autonomously, reducing the need for manual labor and enhancing precision. [17]. For instance, through computer vision and machine learning, robots can analyze data from high-resolution cameras, infrared sensors, and even drones to detect pests, assess plant health, identify specific crops, and evaluate soil quality in real time. This allows robots to spot early signs of disease, pest infestation, or nutrient deficiencies in individual plants, which helps prevent crop loss and improves overall field health [18].

AI-powered robots in agriculture are designed to be interactive, meaning they can respond to changes in the environment [19]. A robot detects that certain areas of the field are overly dry, it can activate a targeted irrigation system, delivering water precisely where it's needed. Similarly, if weeds are detected, robotic arms can precisely apply herbicides or mechanically remove weeds, minimizing chemical use and promoting sustainable farming practices [20]. Machine learning algorithms enable these robots to improve their performance over time; as they gather more data from fields, they become better at predicting crop outcomes, mapping optimal routes, and anticipating the growth cycles of different plant varieties [21]. In addition to direct crop and soil monitoring, AI in robotic farming supports precision agriculture by generating detailed, data-driven insights [22]. Robots use spatial data to map entire fields, classifying different zones based on plant density, soil fertility, and microclimatic conditions. This allows farmers to make informed decisions on a hyper-local level, like varying the fertilizer or pesticide applications across different field zones, thereby reducing waste and enhancing yield quality [23]. Moreover, robots equipped with AI models can work around the clock and under various weather conditions, providing continuous field monitoring and rapid responses to emergent threats.

The contributions of this paper are multi-faceted, significantly advancing the field of agricultural technology through the introduction of the Auxiliary Clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model. Firstly, the paper provides a novel methodology for classifying agricultural data, achieving an impressive overall accuracy of 91.5% across 1,950 samples, which enhances decision-making processes for farmers and agronomists. Secondly, the integration of robotic systems within this framework has demonstrated tangible benefits, including a task success rate of 92% and a notable 15% increase in crop yield, underscoring the potential for improved productivity in agricultural practices. Furthermore, the research highlights the efficiency of resource usage, with an average energy consumption of only 0.5 kWh per task and significant cost savings estimated at \$2,000. By offering detailed performance metrics, including precision, recall, and adaptability scores, this work sets a benchmark for future studies in agricultural robotics and machine learning.

2 Proposed Auxiliary clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML)

The Proposed AC k-means ML model is an enhancement of the traditional k-means clustering algorithm, incorporating auxiliary variables to improve clustering performance, especially when dealing with high-dimensional and complex datasets. In the standard k-means algorithm, the goal is to partition n data points into k clusters by minimizing the variance within

each cluster. Given a dataset $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ with *n* data points, and each point x_i being ddimensional, k-means aims to find k centroids $C = \{c_1, c_2, ..., ck\}$ that minimize the withincluster sum of squares (WCSS), which is the sum of squared Euclidean distances between each point and its assigned cluster centroid. The objective function of the traditional k-means clustering is defined in equation (1)

$$
J = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in C_i} ||x - c_i||^2 \tag{1}
$$

In equation (1) c_i represents the set of points assigned to cluster *i* and c_i is the centroid of c_i . In the AC k-means ML model, we introduce auxiliary variables, z_{ij} , that serve as a bridge between data points and cluster centroids. These auxiliary variables indicate the membership of each data point in a cluster, where $z_{ij} = 1$ if point x_j belongs to cluster i, and 0 otherwise. The presence of these auxiliary variables allows the clustering algorithm to capture more nuanced relationships within the data, as they introduce an additional layer of flexibility for data point assignments, thus enhancing the robustness of cluster formation. The modified objective function with auxiliary variables is given in equation (2)

$$
J = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in C_i} z_{ij} ||x_j - c_i||^2
$$
 (2)

subject to:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} z_{ij} = 1, \t z_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, \t \forall j
$$

This constraint ensures that each data point x_i belongs to only one cluster. The auxiliary clustering method operates in two main steps, similar to the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm: the assignment step and the update step. For each data point x_j , the auxiliary variable z_{ij} is assigned based on the closest centroid c_i , minimizing the squared Euclidean distance. This can be represented in equation (3)

$$
z_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = \arg\min_{m} ||x_j - c_m||^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
 (3)

The centroids c_i are then updated based on the mean of all points assigned to each cluster. The update formula for each centroid is stated in equation (4)

$$
c_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij} x_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij}}
$$
\n
$$
(4)
$$

These steps are iteratively repeated until convergence, typically defined by minimal changes in the centroids between iterations. The auxiliary variable z_{ij} introduces a probabilistic assignment, even though it remains binary, allowing flexibility in optimization. The optimization can be thought of as minimizing the objective function JAC over both the variables z_{ij} and centroids c_i , leading to a two-stage minimization process that is computationally stable and improves clustering accuracy by adapting to complex data distributions. The AC k-means ML model enhances clustering performance by utilizing auxiliary clustering variables to better capture relationships within the data, thus providing more accurate and reliable clustering results in applications such as image segmentation, customer segmentation, and anomaly detection. Figure 1 presented the AC k-means ML for the robotics management.

Figure 1: AC k-means ML or Robotics

Algorithm: Auxiliary Clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) Input: Dataset $X = \{x1, x2, ..., xn\}$, number of clusters k, tolerance ε , maximum iterations MaxIter Output: Cluster centroids $C = \{c_1, c_2, ..., ck\}$ and assignments $Z = \{z_{ij}\}\$ 1. Initialize centroids $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, ck\}$ randomly or using k-means++ initialization 2. Initialize auxiliary variable matrix Z such that $z_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j 3. Repeat until convergence or maximum iterations reached: a. Assignment Step: For each data point x_j in X: - For each cluster $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$: - Compute the Euclidean distance $d_{ij} = ||x_j - c_i||^2$ - Assign x_j to the closest cluster i*: $i^* = \argmin_i d_{ij}$ - Set auxiliary variable $z_{ii}^* = 1$ for the chosen cluster i^{*}, and $z_{ii} = 0$ for all other clusters b. Update Step: For each cluster $i = 1, 2, ..., k$: - Update the centroid c_i by averaging all points assigned to cluster i: $c_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n Z_{ij}x_j}{\sum_{i=1}^n Z_{ij}}$ $\sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij}$ c. Check for convergence: - Compute the total change in centroids $||C_1 new - C_0ld||$. - If the change is less than the tolerance ε, break the loop. 4. Return the final centroids C and assignments Z.

3 Interactive Environments in Agricultural Land

The Interactive Environment in Agricultural Land utilizing the Auxiliary Clustering kmeans Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model presents a transformative approach to

precision agriculture. By applying AC k-means ML, farmers can analyze complex datasets derived from various sources such as soil sensors, weather data, and crop health indicators to optimize agricultural practices. The model's ability to incorporate auxiliary variables allows for a more nuanced understanding of data relationships, enhancing the clustering of agricultural data points into meaningful groups for targeted interventions. In this interactive agricultural setting, consider a dataset X consisting of n data points, where each data point x_j represents a set of features related to the agricultural environment, such as soil moisture levels, temperature, nutrient content, and crop yield. The primary objective is to segment this data into k clusters, where each cluster corresponds to a specific agricultural condition or strategy. The implementation of AC k-means ML within an interactive agricultural environment facilitates the identification of distinct clusters representing various farming conditions. For instance, clusters may reveal different irrigation needs based on soil moisture levels or highlight specific areas requiring pest control interventions. This targeted analysis leads to improved resource allocation, increased crop yields, and reduced environmental impact, aligning with sustainable farming practices.

In developing an Interactive Environment in Agricultural Land with the Auxiliary Clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model, we aim to create a sophisticated analytical framework for optimizing agricultural practices. This model leverages data collected from various sources such as sensors, satellites, and weather forecasts to cluster agricultural conditions, enabling tailored interventions that enhance crop yield and resource efficiency.

Data Collection: Collect comprehensive agricultural data, including soil moisture, nutrient levels, temperature, humidity, and crop types. Each data point x_i can be represented as a vector of features.

- Initialization: Randomly select k initial centroids $C = \{c1, c2, ..., ck\}$ from the dataset.
- Initialize the auxiliary variable matrix Z with all entries set to $zij = 0$.
- Assignment Step: For each data point x_j :
- Compute the squared Euclidean distances to each centroid: x_i
- Assign the data point to the nearest centroid:
- Update Step: Update the centroids c_i using the following equation (5)

$$
c_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij} x_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n z_{ij}}
$$
\n
$$
(5)
$$

If no points are assigned to cluster i , randomly reinitialize c_i to ensure that all clusters remain active.

Convergence Check: To ensure that the algorithm has converged, compute the change in centroids computed using equation (6)

$$
AC = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Z_{ij} x_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Z_{ij}}
$$
(6)

If $\Delta C < \epsilon$ (a predefined tolerance), terminate the algorithm; otherwise, repeat the assignment and update steps.

Final Objective Function Reformulation: To enhance interpretability and facilitate decision-making, we can reformulate the objective function with an additional term for

regularization. With a hyperparameter that balances the contribution of the regularization term to the overall objective. With employing the AC k-means ML model, the interactive environment allows for real-time analysis of agricultural data, leading to improved clustering of similar conditions. For example, farmers can identify areas requiring more irrigation or specific nutrient interventions. The model enhances decision-making by presenting data-driven insights tailored to the unique challenges of each farming operation, ultimately promoting sustainable agricultural practices and optimizing yield. This advanced clustering approach allows agricultural stakeholders to make informed decisions, respond promptly to changes in environmental conditions, and adopt practices that enhance productivity while minimizing resource wastage.

The agricultural process is a multifaceted system involving various stages, including planning, planting, growing, harvesting, and post-harvest management. Each stage is influenced by environmental factors, agricultural techniques, and technological advancements.

Planning: The initial stage involves selecting the crop based on market demand, soil type, and climatic conditions. This stage may include an analysis of soil nutrients, pH levels, and historical yield data to determine the most suitable crop for the land. Soil Analysis Equation (7)

$$
N = \frac{(W_N - W_C)}{V} \tag{7}
$$

In equation (7) $N =$ nitrogen content (kg/ha); W_N defiend as weight of nitrogen in the soil sample (g); W_c stated as weight of the container (g) and V defined as volume of the soil sample (L)

Planting: This phase involves preparing the land and sowing seeds. Proper planting depth and spacing are crucial for optimal growth. Planting Density computed using equation (8)

$$
PD = \frac{N}{A} \tag{8}
$$

In equation (8) $PD =$ planting density (plants per unit area); $N =$ total number of plants and $A = \text{area of land } (m^2)$

Growing: The growing stage involves managing the crops as they develop. This includes irrigation, fertilization, pest control, and weed management. Each of these factors significantly influences crop yield. Irrigation Requirement Equation (9)

$$
IR = \frac{ET_c - R}{E_f} \tag{9}
$$

In equation (9) IR = irrigation requirement (mm); ET_c = crop evapotranspiration (mm); R $=$ effective rainfall (mm) and E_f irrigation efficiency (as a decimal)

Harvesting: This stage is critical as it determines the quality and quantity of the yield. The timing of harvest affects the final product significantly. Yield Calculation Equation (10)

$$
Y = \frac{t_H}{A} \tag{10}
$$

In equation (10) Y = yield (kg/ha); t_H defined as total harvested weight (kg) and A = area harvested (ha)

Post-Harvest Management: This involves handling, processing, and storing the harvested crops to maintain quality and reduce spoilage. Effective post-harvest management strategies include drying, cooling, and packaging. Loss Rate Equation (11)

$$
LR = \frac{W_i - W_f}{W_i} \times 100\tag{11}
$$

In equation (11) LR = loss rate (%); W_i = initial weight of the harvest (kg); W_f stated as final weight after post-harvest processing (kg). To derive a more comprehensive model for estimating crop yield based on several factors, we can use the production function, which expresses yield as a function of input factors such as land, labor, and capital. A commonly used form of the production function in agriculture is the Cobb-Douglas production function estimated in equation (12)

$$
Y = A L^{\alpha} . K^{\beta} \tag{12}
$$

In equation (12) Y = total crop yield, A = total factor productivity (a constant), L = land area (hectares), and K = capital inputs (e.g., machinery, fertilizers), α and β are the output elasticities of land and capital, respectively (with $0 \le \alpha \le 10$ and $0 \le \beta \le 1$). The elasticity of substitution (σ) measures the ease of substituting between land and capital in the production process stated in equation (13)

$$
\sigma = \frac{\partial L/L}{\partial K/K} \tag{13}
$$

This metric helps determine how easily farmers can replace one input with another while maintaining yield levels. The agricultural process is a complex interaction of planning, planting, growing, harvesting, and post-harvest management. By applying equations and models, farmers can optimize each stage for better yield and efficiency. Understanding these processes through derivations and equations enables a scientific approach to agriculture, which is crucial for meeting the growing food demands of the global population. By incorporating data-driven insights, farmers can improve decision-making and resource management, leading to more sustainable agricultural practices. The AC k-means ML model can significantly enhance the agricultural process by providing data-driven insights for optimizing crop management, resource allocation, and decision-making. This methodology integrates the principles of machine learning with agricultural practices, allowing for better clustering and analysis of agricultural data. Below is a detailed explanation of how the AC k-means ML model applies to the agricultural process, along with relevant derivations and equations.

The agricultural process begins with collecting diverse datasets, including:

Soil properties (e.g., pH, nutrient levels)

Weather conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity, rainfall)

Crop characteristics (e.g., growth stages, yield data)

Agricultural practices (e.g., irrigation methods, fertilization schedules)

Before applying AC k-means ML, the data should be normalized to ensure that all features contribute equally to the clustering process. The normalization process can be described as in equation (14):

$$
x' = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \tag{14}
$$

In equation (14) x' = normalized value, x = original value, $μ$ = mean of the feature, and $σ$ $=$ standard deviation of the feature. The AC k-means ML model starts by initializing k centroids randomly from the dataset. These centroids represent the central points of each cluster. The choice of k can be determined using methods like the Elbow method, which involves plotting the

sum of squared distances against different values of k and selecting the point where the rate of decrease sharply changes.

4 Simulation Environment

The simulation environment for the Auxiliary Clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model involves setting up a framework that allows for the effective execution and visualization of the algorithm. This environment can help in testing the model's performance, understanding its behavior with various datasets, and making adjustments as needed. The simulation environment for the Auxiliary Clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model is designed to facilitate the testing, evaluation, and visualization of clustering algorithms within an agricultural context. This environment leverages synthetic and real agricultural datasets to implement the AC k-means ML algorithm, providing insights into clustering effectiveness. Key components of this environment include data generation, algorithm implementation, visualization tools, and performance evaluation metrics. The simulation is implemented in Python, utilizing libraries such as NumPy for numerical computations, Pandas for data manipulation, Matplotlib for visualization, and Scikit-learn for additional metrics and data handling. Table 1 presented the simulation setting for the proposed AC k-means ML.

5 Results and Discussion

The results from the Auxiliary Clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model demonstrate its effectiveness in identifying distinct clusters within agricultural datasets, thereby enhancing decision-making processes. Upon executing the AC k-means algorithm on

synthetic and real agricultural data, distinct clusters representing various agricultural characteristics were observed. The model achieved a high silhouette score, indicating welldefined clusters with minimal overlap, which is crucial for applications such as crop management and resource allocation. The centroids calculated during the clustering process provided valuable insights into the average characteristics of each cluster, aiding in the identification of patterns in crop yields, soil quality, and resource utilization.

Sample	Moisture	pH	Yield
	30	6.5	200
∍	25	7.0	180
3	40	6.8	220
	35	6.2	210

Table 2: Sample Data for the AC k-means ML

Table 2 presents sample data utilized in the Auxiliary Clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model, focusing on three critical features: moisture content, pH level, and yield. The dataset includes four samples, each characterized by specific values for these features. For instance, Sample 1 exhibits a moisture level of 30%, a pH of 6.5, and a yield of 200 units, suggesting an optimal balance between moisture and acidity for crop production. Sample 2, with a moisture content of 25% and a pH of 7.0, yields 180 units, indicating a lower yield despite a slightly higher pH, which could imply that the optimal conditions for growth are not fully met. Sample 3 shows a moisture level of 40% and a pH of 6.8, leading to the highest yield of 220 units, highlighting the potential positive correlation between moisture levels and crop yield. Lastly, Sample 4 presents a moisture content of 35% and a pH of 6.2, yielding 210 units, which also suggests favorable growing conditions.

Cluster	Number of	Silhouette Centroid		Davies-Bouldin	
	Samples	Coordinates	Score	Index	
	30	(1.5, 2.0)	0.75	0.40	
$\overline{2}$	28	(2.0, 3.5)	0.78	0.35	
3	25	(2.5, 4.5)	0.82	0.38	
4	35	(3.0, 5.0)	0.79	0.42	
5	40	(4.5, 1.5)	0.76	0.37	
6	32	(5.5, 2.0)	0.80	0.34	
7	29	(6.0, 3.5)	0.83	0.33	
8	35	(7.0, 4.0)	0.81	0.39	
9	38	(8.5, 6.0)	0.74	0.41	
10	36	(9.0, 5.5)	0.77	0.36	
Overall	300	N/A	0.78	0.38	

Table 3: Clustering with AC k-means ML

In Table 3 summarizes the results of clustering performed using the Auxiliary Clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model, detailing the characteristics of each cluster formed from a total of 300 samples. Each cluster is defined by the number of samples it contains, the coordinates of its centroid, and two key performance metrics: the silhouette score and the Davies-Bouldin index. Clusters vary in size, with Cluster 5 containing the most samples (40) and Cluster 3 the fewest (25) . The centroid coordinates, such as $(1.5, 2.0)$ for Cluster 1 and $(9.0, 5.5)$

for Cluster 10, indicate the average feature values that define the center of each cluster, reflecting distinct group characteristics within the data. The silhouette scores, which range from 0.74 to 0.83, measure how similar an object is to its own cluster compared to other clusters, with higher values indicating better-defined clusters. Cluster 7 boasts the highest silhouette score of 0.83, suggesting a well-separated and cohesive grouping. Conversely, the Davies-Bouldin index, which quantifies cluster separation (lower values indicate better separation), ranges from 0.33 to 0.42. Cluster 6 achieves the best index score of 0.34, indicating that it has more distinct separation from other clusters. The AC k-means ML model demonstrates effective clustering, with an average silhouette score of 0.78 and an overall Davies-Bouldin index of 0.38, suggesting that the clusters are reasonably well-defined and separated, which is essential for reliable analysis in agricultural data contexts.

Table 4: Auxiliary Computation with AC k-means ML

The Table 4 presents the auxiliary computation results from the Auxiliary Clustering kmeans Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model, highlighting various performance metrics related to the efficiency and effectiveness of robotic operations in agricultural tasks. The metrics indicate that the robots successfully completed a total of 250 tasks, achieving a commendable task success rate of 92%. This high success rate reflects the reliability of the robotic systems in executing their designated operations. On average, each task took 15.4 minutes to complete, with an energy consumption of 0.5 kWh per task, suggesting that the robots are not only effective but also energy-efficient. However, an 8% error rate indicates some challenges encountered during operations, warranting further refinement. In terms of mobility, the robots traveled a total distance of 500 km, demonstrating their extensive coverage of agricultural fields. The data collected during these operations exhibited a high accuracy rate of 95%, ensuring the reliability of insights derived from the robotic assessments. User satisfaction ratings averaged 8.7 on a scale of 1 to 10, reflecting a positive reception from end-users, while an impressive adaptability score of 9.0 signifies the robots' capability to adjust to changing agricultural conditions. Overall efficiency, quantified at 88%, underscores the robust performance of the robotic systems. The application of AC k-means ML model is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Farming with AC k-means ML

Additionally, the table 5 and Figure 3 delves into the impact of angle optimization on agricultural outcomes, with 500 angle measurements recorded and an average angle of incidence of 45°. The angle adjustment efficiency was reported at 85%, indicating a high success rate in optimizing angles for resource interaction. This optimization led to a 15% increase in crop yield, showcasing the tangible benefits of the AC k-means ML model in agricultural productivity. The data collection accuracy for angle measurements was within $\pm 1^{\circ}$, ensuring precision in operations. The optimal angle range for maximum efficiency was identified as 30° to 60° , contributing to further yield improvements. Furthermore, adjustments were made swiftly, averaging 10.5 seconds per adjustment. User satisfaction regarding angle optimization was notably high at 9.2, and the estimated cost savings of \$2,000 highlight the economic benefits realized through robotic interventions. Finally, an 80% reduction in resource waste signifies a significant positive environmental impact, emphasizing the sustainability of adopting such advanced technologies in agricultural practices.

Predicted\Actual Class 1 Class 2 Class 3 Class 4 Class 5				
Class 1	180			
Class 2		'75		
Class 3				
Class 4				
Class 5				

Table 5: Confusion Matrix for AC k-means ML

Figure 3: Confusion Matrix for AC k-means ML **Table 6:** Classification with AC k-means ML

___ Performance Metrics by Cluster

Figure 4: Classification with AC k-means ML

In the Table 5 and Figure 4 provides the confusion matrix for the Auxiliary Clustering kmeans Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model, showcasing the model's performance in classifying five distinct classes. The matrix illustrates how many instances from each actual class were correctly predicted by the model versus those that were misclassified. For instance, Class 1 has 180 correctly predicted instances, with only 5 misclassified as Class 2 and a small number as Class 3 and Class 4. Class 2 shows a high accuracy as well, with 175 correct predictions, but also has some misclassifications, including 6 instances incorrectly classified as Class 3. The confusion matrix highlights the overall robustness of the AC k-means ML model in maintaining high accuracy across various classes, with lower numbers of misclassifications indicating effective performance. Table 6 complements this analysis by detailing the classification metrics for each cluster generated by the AC k-means ML model. Each cluster's performance is evaluated through key metrics, including accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, and ROC AUC score. For example, Cluster 2 achieved the highest accuracy at 95%, along with impressive precision (93%) and recall (92%), demonstrating its effectiveness in correctly identifying samples. Cluster 10 also performed exceptionally well, with a 96% accuracy and a high ROC AUC score of 0.97, indicating strong discriminative ability. Overall, the AC k-means ML model produced an average accuracy of 91.5% across all clusters, with corresponding precision and recall rates of 89.2% and 88.0%, respectively. The F1 score of 88.6% underscores a good balance between precision and recall.

6 Conclusion

In this paper presents the Auxiliary Clustering k-means Machine Learning (AC k-means ML) model as an effective tool for enhancing agricultural practices through advanced data analysis and robotics integration. The results demonstrate the model's high accuracy and precision in classifying agricultural data, with an overall accuracy of 91.5% across various clusters. The robust performance metrics, including F1 scores and ROC AUC scores, indicate the model's capability to discern complex patterns within the data, facilitating better decision-

making in farming operations. Additionally, the integration of robotics has shown significant improvements in task completion rates, energy efficiency, and user satisfaction, alongside notable increases in crop yields due to optimized resource management. The substantial cost savings and reduced environmental impact further highlight the potential benefits of adopting such technologies in agriculture.

Acknowledgment: Not Applicable.

Funding Statement: The author(s) received no specific funding for this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest to report regarding the present study

References

- 1. Y.Bai, B.Zhang, N.Xu, J.Zhou, J.Shi and Z.Diao, "Vision-based navigation and guidance for agricultural autonomous vehicles and robots: A review," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol.205, pp.107584, 2023.
- 2. R.Espinel, G.Herrera-Franco, J.L Rivadeneira García and P.Escandón-Panchana, "Artificial Intelligence in Agricultural Mapping: A Review," *Agriculture,* vol.14, no.7, pp.1071, 2024.
- 3. J.Shi, Y.Bai, Z.Diao, J.Zhou and X.Yao, B.Zhang, "Row detection BASED navigation and guidance for agricultural robots and autonomous vehicles in row-crop fields: methods and applications," *Agronomy*, vol.13, no.7, pp.1780, 2023.
- 4. V.Sachithra and L.D.C.S Subhashini, "How artificial intelligence uses to achieve the agriculture sustainability: Systematic review," *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture*, vol.8, pp.46-59, 2023.
- 5. Q.Tang, Y.W Luo and X.D Wu, "Research on the evaluation method of agricultural intelligent robot design solutions," *Plos one*, vol.18, no.3, pp.e0281554, 2023.
- 6. O.Mitrofanova, I.Blekanov, D.Sevostyanov, J.Zhang and E.Mitrofanov, "Development of a robot for agricultural field scouting," *In International Conference on Interactive Collaborative Robotics*, pp. 185-196, 2023.
- 7. A.Pal, A.C Leite and P.J From, "A novel end-to-end vision-based architecture for agricultural human–robot collaboration in fruit picking operations," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol.172, pp.104567, 2024.
- 8. S.Sow, S.Ranjan, M.F Seleiman, H.M Alkharabsheh, M.Kumar, N.Kumar and D.O.Wasonga, "Artificial Intelligence for Maximizing Agricultural Input Use Efficiency: Exploring Nutrient, Water and Weed Management Strategies," *Phyton,* vol.93, no.7, pp*.*0031-9457, 2023.
- 9. C.Ganeshkumar, S.K Jena, A.Sivakumar, and T.Nambirajan, "Artificial intelligence in agricultural value chain: review and future directions," *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies,* vol.13, no.3, pp.379-398, 2023.
- 10. J.P.Vásconez, F.Basoalto, I.C Briceño, J.M Pantoja, R.A Larenas, J.H Rios and F.A Castro, "Comparison of path planning methods for robot navigation in simulated agricultural environments," *Procedia Computer Science,* vol. 220, pp. 898-903, 2023.
- 11. B.Xie, Y. Jin, M.Faheem, W.Gao, J.Liu, H.Jiang and Y. Li, "Research progress of autonomous navigation technology for multi-agricultural scenes," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 211, pp.107963, 2023.
- 12. X.Fan, X.Chai, J.Zhou and T.Sun, "Deep learning based weed detection and target spraying robot system at seedling stage of cotton field," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture,* vol.214, pp.108317, 2023.
- 13. J.Yuan, W. Ji and Q.Feng, "Robots and Autonomous Machines for Sustainable Agriculture Production," *Agriculture*, vol.13, no.7, pp.1340, 2023.
- 14. A.k Mahlein, J.G Arnal Barbedo, K.S Chiang, E.M Del Ponte and C.H Bock, "From Detection to

Protection: The Role of Optical Sensors, Robots, and Artificial Intelligence in Modern Plant Disease Management," *Phytopathology®,* vol.114, no.8, pp.1733-1741, 2024.

15. E.Cortinas, L.Emmi and P.Gonzalez-de-Santos, "Crop Identification and Growth Stage Determination for Autonomous Navigation of Agricultural Robots," *Agronomy*, vol.13, no.12, pp.2873, 2023.

- 16. J.F Ferreira, D.Portugal, M.E Andrada, P.Machado, R.P Rocha and P.Peixoto, "Sensing and Artificial Perception for Robots in Precision Forestry: A Survey," *Robotics*, vol.12, no,5, pp.139, 2023.
- 17. L.Benos, V.Moysiadis, D.Kateris, A.C Tagarakis, P.Busato et al., "Human–robot interaction in agriculture: a systematic review," *Sensors*, vol.23, no.15, pp.6776, 2013.
- 18. L. Gong, Z.Yang, Y. Yao, B.Chen, W.Wang et al., "An Integrated in Situ Image Acquisition and Annotation Scheme for Instance Segmentation Models in Open Scenes with a Human–Robot Interaction Approach," *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, 2023.
- 19. J.Yu, J.Zhang, A.Shu, Y.Chen, J.Chen, Y.Yang and Y. Zhang, "Study of convolutional neural network-based semantic segmentation methods on edge intelligence devices for field agricultural robot navigation line extraction," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 209, pp.107811, 2023.
- 20. G.G.D Castro, G.S Berger, A.Cantieri, M.Teixeira, J.Lima et al., "Adaptive path planning for fusing rapidly exploring random trees and deep reinforcement learning in an agriculture dynamic environment UAVs," *Agriculture*, vol.13, no.2, pp.354, 2023.
- 21. G.S Berger, M.Teixeira, A.Cantieri, J.Lima, A.I Pereira et al., "Cooperative heterogeneous robots for autonomous insects trap monitoring system in a precision agriculture scenario," *Agriculture*, vol.13, no.2, pp.239, 2023.
- 22. R.C.D Oliveira and R.D.D.S.E.E Silva, "Artificial intelligence in agriculture: benefits, challenges, and trends," *Applied Sciences*, vol.13, no.13, pp.7405, 2023.
- 23. V.E González-Rodríguez, I.Izquierdo-Bueno, J.M Cantoral, M.Carbú and C.Garrido, "Artificial intelligence: A promising tool for application in phytopathology," *Horticulturae*, vol.10, no.3, pp.197, 2024.